UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ELECTRONICALLY FUE LECTRONICALLY FUE LECTRONICALLY FUE
MOSES ERVINE,	DATE FILED: 421 2023
Petitioner,	15 CIVIL 9419 (ER)(SDA)
-against-	13 CIVIL 9419 (ER)(SDA)
	JUDGMENT
JOSEPH T. SMITH,	
Respondent.	
It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND	DECREED: That for the reasons
stated in the Court's Opinion and Order dated April 12, 20	22, Magistrate Judge Aaron's Report is
adopted in its entirety, and Ervine's Petition for a writ of h	abeas corpus is DENIED. As Ervine
has not made a substantial showing of a denial of a constit	cutional right, a certificate of
appealability will not issue. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); see a	also, e.g., Matthews v. United States,
682 F.3d 180, 185 (2d Cir. 2012). The Court certifies, pure	suant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that
any appeal from the order would not be taken in good faitl	h, and therefore in forma pauperis

status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-

BY:

Dated: New York, New York

45 (1962); accordingly, the case is closed.

April 21, 2022

RUBY J. KRAJICK

Clerk of Court

Danuty Clark